BART Capacity Overview for UCLA Lake Arrowhead Conference




October 18, 2010



BART Basics



- 360,000 daily riders
- 104 miles
- 43 stations
- 1.3 billion annual passenger miles



Transit's Green Challenge



- Regional planning focus on smart growth/sustainable communities
- Expectation that transit ridership will increase as a result



- Many rail systems are already experiencing capacity problems
- Rail transit infrastructure requires long lead times to implement, and substantial investment to build, maintain and operate

Capacity Outlook




- BART <u>not</u> out of capacity today
- Near-term growth can be managed:
 - service adjustments
 - station crowd management
 - targeted ticket pricing measures
- Long-term growth requires major capital improvements
- Investments require substantial lead time
- Capacity improvements unfunded
- State-of-Good Repair largely unfunded



Bay Area 2035 Forecast Growth





Priority Development Areas



Priority Development Areas and Priority Conservation Areas



Priority Development Area



Priority Conservation Area



Highway

Local Road






BART Average Daily Ridership Historic Trends and Projections



BART Ridership and SF Job Growth




SPUR Future of Downtown Report

East Bay Commute is the Most Constrained






Line-Haul Only



ource: Cambridge Systematics & Arup
CalTrain Downtown Extension & Transbay Ridership Analysis



BART Ridership



Current Travel Markets

2/3rds of BART trips to/from Market Street stations

Weekday Trips by Sub-Area

48%: Transbay

28%: intra-West Bay


24%: intra-East Bay



Capacity Limiters



- San Francisco station dwell times limit Transbay throughput
 - Platform and onboard crowding
 - Stairs and escalators
- Current car design 2 doors per side
- Transbay Tube train control system
- Oakland Wye junction conflicting movements
- Lack of crossovers, turnback tracks, storage tracks




Capacity Constraints

Where Could BART See Problems in the Future?



- On-Board Train Crowding
 - □ Passenger per Seat or per Car (Load Factors)
 - □ Train Control System
 - Vehicles
- SF Downtown Stations
 - Platform Crowding (PM)
 - Stair, Escalator & Faregate Queuing (AM)
 - Emergency Exiting
- Yards & Shops
- Station Access





Transit Capacity Increases




- Easier to increase capacity on bus systems quickly, but trade-offs may be operational efficiency.
 - Capital buses, transit lanes/busways, bus stop improvements, maintenance facilities
 - Operating costs
- Rail systems require much longer lead times to increase capacity.
 - Capital Additional tracks, civil structures (tunnels, elevated sections), station improvements, maintenance facilities, right-ofway, vehicles, power and signaling systems
 - Operating costs





Embarcadero Capacity





Transbay Corridor Management Illustrative – Phased Improvements over 50 Years



Max. Load Point in peak direction (future peak hour <u>increase</u>)	Short < 2,500	Medium 2,500 – 7,500	Long 7,500 – 12,000
BART			
Remove Train Seats	•		
Demand Management Strategies	•	•	•
Station Access	•	•	•
Station Capacity	•	•	
3-Door Train Fleet		•	
Train Control Improvements		•	
Expand Train Fleet		•	•
Construct New Transbay Tube + Stations			•
Bus			
Transbay Terminal	•		
Bay Bridge Contra-Flow Lane		•	

BART Transit Supply Capacity Thresholds (peak hour)



Preliminary Analysis

		Projected Peak Hour Future Capacity				
Constraint	2009 Actual Ridership	Baseline (23 trains/hr) ¹	% Additional Growth	Enhanced (31 trains/hr) ²	% Additional Growth	
Tube (one direction)	17,750	24,600	39%	33,150	87%	
Embarcadero	10,000	13,000	30%	14,000	40%	
Montgomery	9,500	14,250	50%	15,000	58%	

Source: Arup, Capacity Scenarios for DMS Modeling Memo, May 19, 2009

¹ 23 trains / hr assumes No Delay scenario.

² 31 trains / hr assumes Delay scenario, and improved train control system

BART in MTC Regional Rail Plan





BART Capital Program for Core System Major Funding Shortfalls







- (a) Not shown are \$30 million in Security improvements and \$30 million in Quality Enhancements
- (b) Funding as "programmed" in MTC 2035 Regional Transportation Plan

Examples from Other Cities



- Paris RER System "Regional Express Metro" overlaid on top of Paris Metro system – 40+ years to develop
- New York Subway major lines built originally as 4-track lines with express train capability
- US commuter rail conversion to double deck equipment








JR Railway (Japan) **Supply-Side Strategy**





Capacity Overview



Questions?